Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary

Application No.	Applicant(s)	
10/580,585	DUBEDOUT ET AL.	
Examiner	Art Unit	
JAY R. RIPLEY	3679	

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO	personnel):
(1) JAY R. RIPLEY.	(3)
(2) Joe Wrkich.	(4)
Date of Interview: 16 December 2011.	
Type: ☐ Telephonic ☐ Video Conference ☐ Personal [copy given to: ☐ applicant	applicant's representative]
Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: Yes If Yes, brief description:	⊠ No.
Issues Discussed	
Claim(s) discussed: <u>1,6,8,9,11-13,17-19 and 22</u> .	
Identification of prior art discussed: <u>n/a</u> .	
Substance of Interview (For each issue discussed, provide a detailed description and indicate if agreemer reference or a portion thereof, claim interpretation, proposed amendments, argun	
<u>Discussed was suggested language to the claims to place allowability.</u>	the application in a condition for an indication of
Specifically discussed were:	
-The removal of the term "initially" from the claims to clarify -Reciting in claim 1 what the term "section" is indicated to areaAdding the subject matter of claims 6 and 17 to claim 1Cancelling claims 18-19.	
Applicant agreed that the claims can be amended as discu	ssed via an Examiner's Amendment.
See accompanying Examiner's Amedment for the particular	u <u>rs</u> .
Applicant recordation instructions: It is not necessary for applicant to Examiner recordation instructions: Examiners must summarize the sult the substance of an interview should include the items listed in MPEP 71: parent) if must leach argument in tissue discussed. I general indicate an general results or outcome of the interview, to include an indication as to Attachment	stance of any interview of record. A complete and proper recordation of 8.04 for complete and proper recordation including the identification of the of any other pertinant matters discussed regarding potentability and the
4	